## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/516,852	SUCKE ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
	Aitoille	

	Allen J. Flanigan	3744		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress	
THE REPLY FILED <u>17 July 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.				
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:</li> </ol>	the same day as filing a Notice of a replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request	
<ul> <li>a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date</li> <li>b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la</li> </ul>	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth			
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(fextensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the second control of the second co	f). on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ension and the corresponding amount o	36(a) and the appropriat of the fee. The appropriat	e extension fee ate extension fee	
set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL	than three months after the mailing dat			
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENITATION.</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the		
AMENDMENTS				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because  (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially red	ducing or simplifying tl	ne issues for	
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (l	PTOL-324).	
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the				
non-allowable claim(s). 7. ☐ For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) ☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 1-4, 6, 7.				
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>5</u> .				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a	
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.	
<ul> <li>11.  The request for reconsideration has been considered but Applicants in their comments make erroneous assertions in scope with the claims. Nothing in the claims limits the Moreover, Sakaya et al. do indeed show a profile that excomparison of applicant's Fig. 5 embodiment and Sakay</li> <li>12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (</li> </ul>	s regarding Sakaya et al., or offer a subject matter to a tube "only defo hibits a uniform width all along the a et al.'s Fig. 1 embodiment demor	rguments that are not rmed on its sides" as axial length of the tub	commensurate argued. e, as the	
13. Other:				
	/Allen J. Flanigan/	nit 2744		

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3744

## Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.

Part of Paper No. 20090729